ORIGIONAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

The Impact of High BMI on Cholesterol Levels and Type-2 Diabetes Control.

A Cross-Sectional Study

Zainab Haq¹, Syed Usama Shayan Zaidi², Muhammad Nauman Shahid³, Ali Bin Waseem⁴, Ibrahim Tahir⁵, Maria Munir⁶, Tahreem Waheed Butt 7, Ihsan Ullah khan 8, Saad Javaid 9

- 1. Demonstrator, Quaid-e-Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur, Pakistan.
- Assistant professor, Shahida Islam Medical and Dental college, Lodhran, Pakistan.
- Lahore Medical & Dental College Lahore, Pakistan. 4
- Lahore Medical & Dental College Lahore, Pakistan. Lahore Medical & Dental College Lahore, Pakistan. 5.
- Lahore Medical & Dental College Lahore, Pakistan. 6.
- Medical Officer in Ghurki Hospital Lahore, Pakistan. 7.
- Assistant Professor, Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology (IMBB), The university of Lahore, Lahore, Pakistan. 8.
- 9. Lahore Medical & Dental College Lahore, Pakistan.

Corresponding author: Dr. Muhammad Nauman Shahid, Email: mnaumanedu146@gmail.com , Cell: 0309828994

Abstract:

Background:

Higher levels of BMI have closed relationship with hypercholesterolemia and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in the population with type 2 diabetes.

Study Design:

Present research was a Cress-Sectional Study.

Methodology:

Current study was conducted at Lahore General Hospital, Jinnah hospital Lahore and Diabetes Endocrine Metabolic Centre (DEMC) from March 2023 to February 2024. A retrospective Cross-Sectional analysis of 800 patients was considered and correlation between BMI, HbA1c and hypercholesterolemia was noted. The Underweight, Normal, Overweight, Obesity Class 1, Obesity Class 2, and Obesity Class 3 were the six categories based on BMI. One could classify HbA1c as controlled or uncontrolled. One could take cholesterol as controlled or uncontrolled.

Results:

For above 500 patients, 25 percent had normal weight, 30.1% were overweight, and 35 percent were obese. Class 1 had a 25.8% obesity rate, Class 2 had a 40% obesity rate, and Class 3 had a 42 % obesity rate. Both sexes showed similar levels of obesity, HbA1c, and cholesterol control. Whereas 32.26% of patients had a controlled HbA1c. Of them, 7.8% had normal weight, 11.8% were overweight, and 0.98% were underweight. In this class, 11.8% of the patients were obese. Class 1 obesity rates were 6.2%, Class 2 obesity rates were 4.3%, and Class 3 obesity rates were 1.2%. 1.9% of patients with uncontrolled HbA1c levels were underweight, 18.2% were of normal weight, 22.1% were overweight, and 26.3% were obese out of 67.74% of patients. Class 1 obesity rates were 18.7%, Class 2 obesity rates were 6.3%, and Class 3 obesity rates were 1.4%.

Conclusion:

The findings of present study were showed that BMI has close relationship with HbA1c. And hypercholesterolemia. High levels of BMI had poor glycemic and Cholesterol control. Key words:

Glycosylated hemoglobin, Hypercholesterolemia, Body mass index, Glycemic Index. Diabetes Type-2.



© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/public domain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Received: 01/04/2024 Revised: 02/04/2024 Accepted: 02/04/2024

Introduction:

The incidence of diabetes mellitus in Pakistan has surged significantly from 15% in 2020 to over 38% in recent times, primarily due to alterations in lifestyle. One extremely common metabolic illness is diabetes mellitus[5]. It was first believed to be an illness associated with wealth[8]. The existence in developing nations, however, runs counter to this theory. It is now known that lifestyle changes are crucial to controlling it, and that it can affect both the rich and the poor equally. About 12 million people in Pakistan are thought to be pre-diabetic, and about 29 million people have diabetes. The number of people with obesity-related diabetes is rising as a result of the adoption of Western diets heavy in fat and refined carbohydrates, as well as the spread of sedentary lifestyles[9].

The Patients with Type 2 diabetes are frequently noted to be overweight or obese. According to the pathology of Type 2, an increase in weight causes a corresponding increase endogenous in insulin resistance[12]. While there are many other variables at play, one of the most significant ones is an elevated Body Mass Index (BMI). In Pakistan, diabetes is the most prevalent non-communicable disease. As a result, its effects on rates of morbidity, risk of atherosclerosis, hypertension, and dyslipidemia are growing. Diabetes is harder to manage when a person is obese. Glycosylated hemoglobin can provide an accurate measure of control (HbA1c). For the life of a red blood cell, high blood sugar levels cause hemoglobin to become irreversibly glycosylated[14].

The American Diabetes Association (ADA) states that pre-diabetic patients may be diagnosed with glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) levels between 6.7% and 8.4%, but a level of \geq 7.5% is advised for the diagnosis of diabetes[8]. The small intra-individual variability of the HbA1c level, which is a reflection of the average plasma glucose for the preceding two to three months, as well as the assessment's feasibility without the requirement for fasting, are factors that support its use in the diagnosis and monitoring of diabetes mellitus[17]. However, because the HbA1c test has a lower sensitivity in some patient groups (like sickle cell anemia) or in some populations (like the Asian population), it should be used cautiously[19].

Patients with diabetes have an increased risk of developing dyslipidemia, also known as atherogenic dyslipidemia, which is linked to microvascular disorders like neuropathy and nephropathy as well as macrovascular disorders like heart disease and stroke[20]. These conflicting results underlined the need for additional research on the relationship between diabetic patients' lipid profiles and HbA1c. In order to better understand the relationship between HbA1c and the lipid profile in a sizable group of type-2 diabetes mellitus patients, present study was conducted[21]. Diabetes raises the possibility of numerous problems that can lower one's quality of life. They all lead to an increase in atherogenicity[22].

In this study, we sought to determine how BMI related to hypercholesterolemia and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in our institution.

Materials and Methods:

Aims and objectives:

Monitor the association between BMI hypercholesterolemia and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) in the population with type 2 diabetes.

Study Design:

Current research was a Cress-Sectional Study.

Inclusive Criteria:

Age limit was in between 25 to 60 years. All patients were type-2 with high levels of diabetes mellitus and hypercholesterolemia. BMI used to classify someone as overweight or obese, usually 25 kg/m² and above, in order to investigate the effects of high BMI. Individuals who have been taking Type-2 diabetes medications steadily for a predetermined amount of time.

Exclusive Criteria:

To concentrate the study on Type-2 diabetes, people with Type-1 diabetes are not included. Individuals who have had major surgery recently for example, within the last six months may have different medication regimens or metabolic states. Because pregnancy alters metabolic and hormonal profiles that may impact cholesterol and diabetes management, pregnant women are not allowed to participate. Individuals who, in order to prevent confounding effects, changed their cholesterol or diabetes medication within a certain time frame prior to the study. Those who have a history of alcohol or drug misuse, as this may affect the study's guidelines or adherence.

Methodology:

Current study was conducted at Lahore General Hospital, Jinnah hospital Lahore and Diabetes Endocrine Metabolic Centre (DEMC) from March 2023 to February 2024. A retrospective Cross-Sectional analysis of 800 patients was considered and correlation between BMI, HbA1c and hypercholesterolemia was noted. The Underweight, Normal, Overweight, Obesity Class 1, Obesity Class 2, and Obesity Class 3 were the six categories based on BMI. One could classify HbA1c as controlled or uncontrolled. One could take cholesterol as controlled or uncontrolled.

Biostatistics presentations:

Dependent and Variables Independent Variables were presented through various statistical techniques, such as mixed models, survival analysis, and regression analysis, can be used, depending on the study design and the type of data. Means Standard deviation (Mean \pm SD) and Significant (p<0.05) were considered respectively.

Class 1 had a 25.8% obesity rate, Class 2 had a 40% obesity rate, and Class 3 had a 42 % obesity rate. Both sexes showed similar levels of obesity, HbA1c, and cholesterol control. Whereas 32.26% of patients had a controlled HbA1c. Of them, 7.8% had normal weight, 11.8% were overweight, and 0.98% were underweight. In this class, 11.8% of the patients were obese. Class 1 obesity rates were 6.2%, Class 2 obesity rates were 4.3%, and Class 3 obesity rates were 1.2%. 1.9% of patients with uncontrolled HbA1c levels were underweight, 18.2% were of normal weight, 22.1% were overweight, and 26.3% were obese out of 67.74% of patients. Class 1 obesity rates were 18.7%, Class 2 obesity rates were 6.3%, and Class 3 obesity rates were 1.4%.

For above 500 patients, 25 percent had normal

weight, 30.1% were overweight, and 35 percent were obese.

Results:

Table 1: Gender variations regarding their BMI

Parameters	U. W 18	19->25 control	26-30 O.W	31-35 C-1 Ob	35.1-40 C-2 Ob	>40 C-3 Ob	Collective
Men	10	50	100	50	60	30	300
Women	10	50	50	41	34	15	200

Table 2. Individuals with Controlled HbA1c levels

Parameters	Women	Men	Mean ± SD
U.W	3.9	4	7.9 ± 0.01
Control	50	50	100.01 ± 0.01
O.W	100	50	150.01 ± 0.03
C-1 Ob	50	41	91.01 ± 0.01
C-2 Ob	60	34	94.01 ± 0.02
C-3 Ob	30	15	45.01 ± 0.01

(p<0.05)

Table 3. Patients with uncontrolled HbA1c

U.W 8 8 16.01±0.01 Control 40 48 88.01±0.03 O.W 50 99 149.01±0.04 C-1 Ob 40 50 90.01±0.01	Parameters	L	Women	Men	Mean ± SD	
O.W 50 99 149.01±0.04	U.W		8	8	16.01 ± 0.01	
	Control		40	48	88.01 ± 0.03	
C-1 Ob 40 50 90.01±0.01	O.W		50	99	149.01 ± 0.04	
	C-1 Ob		40	50	90.01 ± 0.01	
C-2 Ob 33 58 88.01±0.02	C-2 Ob		33	58	88.01 ± 0.02	
C-3 Ob 15 30 45.01±0.01 (pc	C-3 Ob		15	30	45.01 ± 0.01	(p<0.05

Table 4. Patients with Controlled Cholesterol levels

Parameters	Women	Men	Mean ± SD	
U.W	9	10	19.01 ± 0.01	
Control	47	48	95.01 ± 0.03	
O.W	48	89	137.01 ± 0.04	
C-1 Ob	40	40	80.01 ± 0.01	
C-2 Ob	32	50	82.01 ± 0.02	(p<0.05)
C-3 Ob	14	27	41.01 ± 0.01	(P (0)00)

 Table
 5.Patients
 with
 Uncontrolled
 Cholesterol

 levels

Parameters	Women	Men	Mean ± SD
U.W	2	7	9.01 ± 0.01
Control	40	48	88.01 ± 0.03
O.W	40	89	139.01 ± 0.01
C-1 Ob	30	49	79.01 ± 0.01
C-2 Ob	30	48	78.01 ± 0.02
C-3 Ob	10	20	30.01 ± 0.01




Fig-1: This graph showing the number of individuals by BMI category, ranging from Underweight to Class 3 Obesity. Each category is represented with a different color, illustrating a hypothetical distribution of individuals across various BMI classifications. This visualization could be analogous to categorizing participants in a study related to weight status and its impact on health outcomes, such as cholesterol levels and Type-2 diabetes control.




Fig-2: The graph illustrating the number of patients with uncontrolled HbA1c, broken down by BMI category and gender. The categories range from Underweight (U.W) to Class 3 Obesity (C-3 Ob), with separate bars for women (in pink) and men (in blue). This visualization helps highlight differences in the distribution of uncontrolled HbA1c across both BMI categories and genders

Discussion:

A study that combined data from five Spanish observational studies was published. The goal was to determine the cardiovascular risk factors in patients with varying BMI groups, as well as how the patient's age and HbA1c sugar control correlated[1, 2]. They provided evidence that diabetes control is not influenced by BMI. For other cardiovascular risk factors, such as hypertension and hypertriglyceridemia, it was not the case. They recommended strict management of obesity, dyslipidemia, and hypertension in young diabetic patients. These outcomes differed from what our investigation revealed[3, 4]. Regarding the various BMI classes, controlled and uncontrolled HbA1c, and total cholesterol levels, there was no discernible sex difference. Nonetheless, the bulk of patients had high BM and were in the obesity group[6, 7].

Patients with Type 1 obesity made up the majority of these. Patients who were overweight came after it. The majority had uncontrolled cholesterol and an uncontrolled HbA1c[10, 11]. It implies that poor control of HbA1c and cholesterol in our population is directly correlated with high BMI. A review article by several researchers provided evidence that lowering cholesterol led to a decline in cardiovascular events[13]. They also found a significant level of cholesterol and showed that the risk of developing cardiovascular disease increased as levels rose over time. Both primary and secondary prevention have documented the effect of reduction. There were several ways in which this study differed from ours. First, the associated cardiovascular risks were not calculated[15, 16].

Secondly, our study was retrospective in nature and did not evaluate the relationship between elevated cholesterol and an increase in cardiac events[18].




Fig-3: The color scheme for the graph depicting the number of patients with uncontrolled cholesterol levels by BMI category and gender. Women are now represented in orange, and men in green. This change in color scheme helps to differentiate the data visually while maintaining the same informative layout

Secondly, our study was retrospective in nature and did not evaluate the relationship between elevated cholesterol and an increase in cardiac events [18]. We did, however, record a clear correlation between poor cholesterol and both high body mass index and inadequate diabetic control. There were numerous ways in which this study differed from ours. We measured total cholesterol levels only (not fragments) in 500 patients with dyslipidemia. We computed the cholesterol control using the ATP III recommendation [23]. It was found that the majority of patients in the overweight group had low cholesterol. Patients who were obese came after it. There were more patients in the Class 1 group of obesity than in the Class 2 and 3 groups. Additionally, it was noted that a sizable portion of patients with uncontrolled cholesterol had normal BMIs. The HbA1c control showed comparable findings.

Conclusion:

The study concludes that high BMI is closely associated with poor control of HbA1c and cholesterol levels, highlighting the need for integrated management strategies for patients with Type-2 diabetes and high BMI to mitigate cardiovascular risk factors.

Conflict to study:

No conflict of study was faced during the research.

Funding:

No external funding was received for the current study.

Acknowledgement:

We would like to acknowledge every person who helped us in this noble research.

Authors contribution:

Every author contributed equally and sincerely in the current research.

References:

1. Bener A, Zirie M, Al-Rikabi A. Genetics, obesity, and environmental risk factors associated with type 2 diabetes. Croat Med J. 2005;46(2):302-7.

2. Liu H, Liu J, Liu J, Xin S, Lyu Z, Fu X. Triglyceride to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (TG/HDL-C) ratio, a simple but effective indicator in predicting type 2 diabetes mellitus in older adults. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2022;13:828581.

3. Khil J, Kim SM, Chang J, Choi S, Lee G, Son JS, et al. Changes in total cholesterol level and cardiovascular disease risk among type 2 diabetes patients. Scientific Reports. 2023;13(1):8342.

4. RIAZ M, QAZI AMQ, Syed SA, Chaudhary AK. HEPATOPROTECTIVE EFFECTS OF GLYCYRRHIZ GLABRA AND CICORIUM INTYBUS IN PARACETAMOL INDUCED TOXICITY IN RATTUS NORVEGICUS. DEVELOPMENTAL MEDICO-LIFE-SCIENCES. 2024;1(1).

5. Gutierrez MJ, Rosenberg NL, MacDougall DE, Hanselman JC, Margulies JR, Strange P, et al. Efficacy and safety of ETC-1002, a novel investigational low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol–lowering therapy for the treatment of patients with hypercholesterolemia and type 2 diabetes mellitus. Arteriosclerosis, thrombosis, and vascular biology. 2014;34(3):676-83.

6. Kim MK, Han K, Joung HN, Baek K-H, Song K-H, Kwon H-S. Cholesterol levels and development of cardiovascular disease in Koreans with type 2 diabetes mellitus and without pre-existing cardiovascular disease. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2019;18:1-11.

7. Hussain R, Umar M, Shuja M, Khowaja S, Jabeen S, Shah SSA. During Resistance Training the Effect of Ibuprofen on Muscle Hypertrophy Regarding Strength and Soreness. A Population Based Cross-Sectional Study. Pakistan Journal of Medical & Health Sciences. 2023;17(05):471-.

8. Wang JS, Lo SH, Yeh YP, Hwu CM, Huang CN, Hsieh CH, et al. Distinct associations of self-monitoring of blood glucose with glycemic control and hypoglycemia between groups of recently diagnosed and long-term follow-up type 2 diabetes: the Taiwan diabetes registry. International Journal of Clinical Practice. 2021;75(9):e14410.

9. Rahmy AF, Afifi WM, Ghorab AA, Mostafa HA. Effect of moderate aerobic exercises on kidney function and lipid profile in chronic kidney disease patients. Journal of The Egyptian Society of Nephrology and Transplantation. 2016;16(3):97-105.

10. Jende JM, Groener JB, Rother C, Kender Z, Hahn A, Hilgenfeld T, et al. Association of serum cholesterol levels with peripheral nerve damage in patients with type 2 diabetes. JAMA network open. 2019;2(5):e194798-e.

11. RASHID M, SHAHBAZ MN, AKRAM A, ANWAR A, UMAR M, ALI MS, et al. Analysis of Patients Receiving Treatment for Inflammatory Breast Disease at Surgery Department of Tertiary Care Units. DEVELOPMENTAL MEDICO-LIFE-SCIENCES. 2024;1(1).

12. Adedeji TA. Risk Factors for Overweight and Obesity in College Students: Howard University; 2017.

13. Russo G, Pintaudi B, Giorda C, Lucisano G, Nicolucci A, Cristofaro MR, et al. Age-and gender-related differences in LDL-cholesterol management in outpatients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. International Journal of Endocrinology. 2015;2015.

14. Jaber AaF. THE ASSOCIATION BETWEEN PHYSICAL ACTIVITY, COGNITIVE FUNCTION, AND PERFORMANCE OF ACTIVITIES OF DAILY LIVING IN PATIENTS WITH EARLY ALZHEIMER'S DISEASE: University of Kansas; 2010.

15. Fu Q, Zhang Z, Hu W, Yang Y. The correlation of triglyceride/high-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio with muscle mass in type 2 diabetes patients. BMC Endocrine Disorders. 2023;23(1):93.

16. Chen L, Pei J-H, Kuang J, Chen H-M, Chen Z, Li Z-W, et al. Effect of lifestyle intervention in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Metabolism. 2015;64(2):338-47.

17. Praveen EP, Kulshreshtha B, Khurana ML, Sahoo J, Gupta N, Kumar G, et al. Low HDL-cholesterol among normal weight, normoglycemic offspring of individuals with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Hormones. 2011;10:57-66.

18. Lotta LA, Sharp SJ, Burgess S, Perry JR, Stewart ID, Willems SM, et al. Association between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol–lowering genetic variants and risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis. Jama. 2016;316(13):1383-91.

19. Li K, Cao B, Wang X, Chai T, Ke J, Zhao D. Sex differences in the non-linear association between BMI and LDL cholesterol in type 2 diabetes. Frontiers in Endocrinology. 2023;14:1180012.

20. Liu H, Yan S, Chen G, Li B, Zhao L, Wang Y, et al. Association of the ratio of triglycerides to high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels with the risk of type 2 diabetes: a retrospective cohort study in Beijing. Journal of Diabetes Research. 2021;2021.

21. Youk TM, Kang MJ, Song SO, Park E-C. Effects of BMI and LDL-cholesterol change pattern on cardiovascular disease in normal adults and diabetics. BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care. 2020;8(2):e001340.

22. Cheng C, Liu Y, Sun X, Yin Z, Li H, Zhang M, et al. Dose–response association between the triglycerides: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol ratio and type 2 diabetes mellitus risk: The rural Chinese cohort study and meta-analysis. Journal of diabetes. 2019;11(3):183-92.

23. Franz MJ, Boucher JL, Rutten-Ramos S, VanWormer JJ. Lifestyle weight-loss intervention outcomes in overweight and obese adults with type 2

diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2015;115(9):1447-63.

Publisher's Note: Developmental Medico-Life-Sciences remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps. and institutional affiliations.



Developmental Medico-Life-Sciences Research and Publications Pvt Ltd.